



ed, very general in nature as we have tried to provide a comprehensive understanding of the space addressed in risk management. Other parts of our research on risk management is empirical and other parts (to appear in ISR) contains more elaborate versions of the framework with more specific questions.

While Gro's comments on our paper provide useful suggestions for improvement I believe that we should continue to encourage conceptual and theoretical contributions to SJIS—even in a form which is not directly useful in practice. It is true that empirical, experimental research plays an important role and that we must ultimately present our findings in a form that is useful for practice. But conceptual and theoretical contributions can provide important partial steps to reach such goals.

Whether we should strive in a more systematic way to open SJIS towards the interests of practitioners is still an open question. It is indeed in our interest as researchers to do so and we would all benefit from a more intense dialogue with practice. But SJIS was created as an instrument to improve the quality of Scandinavian research on information systems and we should still be cautious not to pursue too many goals taking our resources into account. In the longer run we should undoubtedly seek to realize Gro's ideas within the IRIS organization and maybe by expanding SJIS as a useful medium for more people.